

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Dax 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

DATE MAILED: 09/13/2005

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/750,138	<u></u>	12/31/2003	David Gschneidner	01946/100G527-US2 9762	
53696	7590	09/13/2005		EXAMINER	
DARBY & DARBY P.C.			CARR, DEBORAH D		
P.O. BOX 52 NEW YORK		0150-5257		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11211 10141	,			1621	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,			lication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence			750,138	GSCHNEIDNER ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Exa	miner	Art Unit					
			orah D. Carr	1621					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>04 Auaust</i>	2005.						
		2b)⊠ This actio							
'=	Since this application is in condition	<i></i>		secution as to the merits is					
. ,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4) 🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-31</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· -	Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or elec	tion requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
ا ۱۵	The specification is objected to by th	e Evaminer			,				
•	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
.0,									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)□	The oath or declaration is objected t		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •					
•	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	o by ano Examina	on the trib attached office	7.64.617.617.617.7.7.6.1.62.					
_	•			(1) (0					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		- t						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.								
	3. Copies of the certified copies	· · · · · · · ·		d in this National Stage	ı				
. * 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
				•					
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite atent Application (PTO-152)					
	r No(s)/Mail Date 10/1/04,5/24/05.		6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

Application/Control Number: 10/750,138

Art Unit: 1621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I (claim 1) in the reply filed on 4 August 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that Group II depends from claim 1 of Group I and if Group I is found allowable, then Group II would also be allowable. Also, searching both groups together would not be a serious burden upon the examiner. This is not found persuasive because the subject matter encompassed in Group II (compositions that contain active ingredient that would not be within the purview of Group I. As shown in the grouping of the claims, the search area is divergent and not overlapping. In fact claim 13 of Group II combines the compound of Group I with a poly(amino acid) and then combines that with an active agent.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 2-31 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 4 August 2005.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a

Page 2

Art Unit: 1621

person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Milstein et al. (US Pub. 2003/0232085), see col. 7, section [0067].

The claim reads on 8-N- (5-methoxyacetylsalicyloyl) aminocaprylic acid. The reference teaches a structurally similar isomer 8-N- (4-methoxyacetylsalicyloyl) aminocaprylic acid.

Nothing unobvious is seen in substituting the known claimed isomer for the structurally similar isomer taught by US Pub. 2003/0232085 since such structurally related compounds suggest one another and would be expected to share common properties absent a showing of unexpected results.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah D. Carr whose telephone number is 571-272-0637. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**DEBORAH D. CARR PRIMARY EXAMINER** 

ddc